

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/695,180 | Applicant(s) KAPPES ET AL. |
| | Examiner Arnold M Kinkead | Art Unit 2817 |

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Arnold M Kinkead.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. James A. Harrison(40,401).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 11, 815

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The amendment to claim 15 was made compliant by the underlining of the added recitation from claim 16.**112, 2nd para. issues resolved.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)